

“The sustainability of the Hungarian diaspora in dual/multiple identities in São Paulo”

Response to Dr. Anna Fenyvesi’s review

I would like to extend my gratitude to Dr. Anna Fenyvesi for her thorough and highly positive evaluation of my dissertation. Her detailed observations and constructive criticism following the mock exam were of immense value in shaping the final version of the work. I consciously integrated the majority of her suggestions, and I believe the dissertation has become significantly more transparent, coherent, and comprehensive as a result.

One major improvement concerned the overall structure of the dissertation. Following her advice, I revised the organization to follow a more conventional academic format: the Preface became the Introduction, the Literature Review was clarified and expanded, and the research questions and hypotheses were placed in a more logical position. These changes helped to present the aims and context of the research more clearly.

Another important contribution of her feedback was the call **to** define key concepts more precisely. In the final version, I provided clear definitions for terms such as *heritage language*, *immigrant language*, *intergenerational language loss*, *semi-official minority language*, and *language maintenance*, ensuring consistent usage throughout the text.

I also addressed the literature and referencing issues highlighted in her earlier review. Several important missing works, including those by Dr. Fenyvesi herself, and Maria Polinsky, were added, and the reference list was expanded with missing editors, publishers, and page numbers. While some minor inconsistencies in formatting may remain, I apologize sincerely for these shortcomings, and I will correct them in any future publications arising from this research.

Her guidance also prompted me to reconsider the scope of linguistic aspects within the dissertation. As a result, I removed one research question that focused more heavily on linguistic analysis, realizing that pursuing this line would require a different type of introduction and would shift the main focus away from identity, which is the central theme of the dissertation. Similarly, the linguistic landscape section was significantly shortened in line with her suggestions. I agree that this change improved the balance of the dissertation by keeping the primary focus on identity rather than on a limited set of linguistic data.

I am also grateful for her advice on terminology and phrasing, which encouraged me to replace imprecise expressions and ensure more consistent academic style throughout the text. The appendices and methodological sections were likewise revised, now including the interview questions and full versions of the questionnaire in Hungarian, Portuguese, and English, as well as more detailed participant information.

I am very thankful for the generous support and guidance she has provided throughout this process. I will certainly keep her valuable advice in mind in my future research as well.



Máté Csrepka
Veszprém, 2025. 09. 22.